

# **Juridical Analysis: Assessing Indonesia's Legal Safeguards Against Malaysian Infringement Claims on Batik Under Law No. 28 of 2014**

**Anjali Katifa<sup>1</sup>, Rachel Nakeisha Darmadji<sup>2</sup>, Carla Xelena Antunez<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1,2,3</sup> Universitas Pelita Harapan Indonesia

<sup>1</sup>01052220029@student.uph.edu

<sup>2</sup>01052220015@student.uph.edu

<sup>3</sup>01052220017@student.uph.edu

## **Abstract**

Copyright is an important aspect of intellectual property rights, especially for protecting artistic creations and recognizing the ownership of creators. These rights empower authors with comprehensive control over their works, ensuring they receive appropriate recognition and financial compensation for their contributions. The case of Malaysia's claims over batik, a traditional Indonesian textile art, illustrates a significant challenge to these rights. Malaysia's assertion of ownership over batik, despite its deep cultural roots in Indonesia, exemplifies the critical nature of this issue. This misattribution raises serious concerns regarding copyright infringement and the respect for intellectual property rights. Article 38 of Law No. 28 of 2014 explicitly recognizes traditional cultural expressions, including batik, is specifically mentioned as having distinct protections due to its cultural significance and artistic value. This legal framework aims to safeguard Indonesia's rich cultural heritage from unauthorized claims and misappropriation by other nations. The study seeks to explore how Indonesia can effectively defend its cultural heritage against Malaysian infringement claims concerning batik. It highlights the importance of robust legal mechanisms and international cooperation in addressing such disputes. Furthermore, it emphasizes the need for Indonesia to assert its rights within the framework of the World Trade Organization, reinforcing its commitment to protecting its cultural expressions on a global scale.

**Keywords:** Copyright; infringement; protect

## **A. Introduction**

The protection of intellectual property rights is essential for recognizing and preserving the products of human creativity and artistic expression. Among these rights, copyright plays a crucial role, granting authors exclusive control over their works and ensuring they receive appropriate recognition and financial compensation for their contributions. This legal framework is particularly significant in the context

of traditional cultural expressions, where the intersection of creativity and heritage can lead to complex disputes over ownership and rights. A salient example of this is the ongoing controversy surrounding Malaysia's claims over batik, a traditional Indonesian textile art that holds profound cultural significance in Indonesia. Malaysia's assertion of ownership over batik, despite its deep historical roots in Indonesian culture, exemplifies the critical challenges faced in protecting intellectual property rights.

The misattribution of batik raises serious concerns regarding copyright infringement and respect for intellectual property rights. Article 38 of Law No. 28 of 2014 explicitly recognizes traditional cultural expressions, including batik, as deserving distinct protections due to their cultural significance and artistic value.<sup>1</sup> This legal framework aims to safeguard Indonesia's rich cultural heritage from unauthorized claims and misappropriation by other nations, thereby reinforcing the importance of respecting the origins and authenticity of cultural artifacts.

The case of batik serves as a compelling study in the broader discourse on intellectual property rights, particularly in how nations navigate claims over cultural heritage in an increasingly interconnected world.<sup>2</sup> As globalization continues to blur national boundaries, the risk of misappropriation of cultural expressions becomes ever more pronounced. The assertion by Malaysia not only threatens Indonesia's creative identity but also raises questions about the ethical responsibilities nations have towards each other's cultural legacies. This situation necessitates a thorough examination of the legal, cultural, and ethical dimensions involved in protecting such artistic expressions.

This study seeks to explore how Indonesia can effectively defend its cultural heritage against Malaysian infringement claims concerning batik. It highlights the importance of establishing robust legal mechanisms at both national and international levels to address such disputes effectively. The need for Indonesia to assert its rights

---

<sup>1</sup> Indonesia. *Law No. 28 of 2014 concerning Copyright*. Government of Indonesia.

<sup>2</sup> Mifta Khusnul Nurjanah and Abdul Rahman, "The Preservation Strategy of Written Batik as a Cultural Heritage (Case Study of Gunawan Setiawan Batik in Kauman Batik Tourism Village)," *Resource: Mamangan Social Science Journal* 10, no. 2 (2021): 60–69, <http://ejournal.stkip-pgri-sumbar.ac.id/index.php/jurnal-mamangan>.

within the framework of international organizations such as the World Trade Organization (WTO) is paramount. By doing so, Indonesia can reinforce its commitment to protecting its cultural expressions on a global scale while advocating for respect and recognition of its artistic heritage.

In examining this issue, it is essential to consider not only the legal frameworks that govern copyright but also the broader implications for cultural identity and heritage preservation. The case of batik illustrates how easily a nation's cultural heritage can be misrepresented or appropriated, posing a serious risk to its identity and legacy. This situation calls for greater vigilance in recognizing and crediting the achievements of cross-cultural artists while fostering dialogue and cooperation among nations.

Furthermore, this inquiry will delve into several key questions: What legal frameworks exist to protect traditional cultural expressions like batik? How can Indonesia leverage international agreements to bolster its claims? What role does diplomatic engagement play in resolving such disputes? By addressing these questions, this study aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the intricate relationships between copyright law, cultural identity, and international cooperation.

The implications extend beyond mere ownership; they touch upon issues of national pride, historical accuracy, and international relations.<sup>3</sup> The misrepresentation of batik not only distorts historical narratives but also undermines Indonesia's efforts to maintain its unique cultural identity amidst globalization's pressures.<sup>4</sup> This situation underscores the necessity for strong enforcement mechanisms at both national and international levels to prevent unauthorized appropriation and ensure that creators receive due recognition for their works.

In addition to legal considerations, this issue raises important ethical questions regarding nations moral obligations to protect each other's cultural heritage. It emphasizes the importance of mutual respect and acknowledgment in preserving the

---

<sup>3</sup> Fajar Sugianto, Astrid Athina Indradewi, and Yohanie Mareta, "Book Pirates and Copycats : Infringement That Speaks For Itself" 2, no. 1 (2024): 259–69.

<sup>4</sup> Suharto, Budi. "Cultural Heritage and Intellectual Property Rights in Indonesia." *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, vol. 45, no. 2, 2014.

authenticity and diversity of global cultural landscapes.<sup>5</sup> The ethical dimension highlights that beyond legal frameworks lies a responsibility to honor and celebrate each nation's contributions to humanity's collective heritage.

Moreover, this case has broader diplomatic implications that underscore the necessity for bilateral or multilateral dialogues aimed at promoting respect, understanding, and cooperation in preserving cultural diversity. Such discussions are crucial not only for resolving specific disputes but also for fostering an environment where creative expressions can thrive without fear of misappropriation.

Overall, this thesis seeks not only to defend Indonesia's rights but also to advocate for a broader recognition of the importance of preserving cultural heritage in an interconnected world. The case of batik serves as a crucial example of how intellectual property rights can act as both a shield and a sword in combating cultural misappropriation. As nations navigate these complex waters, it is imperative that they uphold principles of respect and mutual understanding while ensuring that artistic legacies are honored and protected for future generations.<sup>6</sup>

Ultimately, this introduction sets the stage for a comprehensive analysis of Indonesia's strategies for defending its intellectual property rights against Malaysian claims over batik. It underscores the urgent need for strong enforcement mechanisms at both national and international levels to prevent unauthorized appropriation while emphasizing the importance of fostering dialogue among nations to promote respect for cultural diversity.<sup>7</sup> By addressing these critical issues, this study aims to provide insights into effective strategies for safeguarding Indonesia's rich artistic heritage against external challenges while reinforcing its commitment to protecting its unique cultural identity on a global stage.

---

<sup>5</sup> Rahman, Ahmad. "The Role of International Law in Protecting Cultural Heritage." *International Journal of Cultural Property*, vol. 22, no. 1, 2015.

<sup>6</sup> Claudio Ricky Adhitya, Fidelia Evangelyn Abigail, and Florence Hermawan, "Fighting Fake Fashion: Legal Protection Of Brand Owners Towards Counterfeit Branded Fashion Goods In The Lens Of Intellectual Property Law," n.d., 1–17.

<sup>7</sup> Tan, Wei Ling. "The Impact of Globalization on Traditional Arts: The Case of Batik." *Asian Arts Journal*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2019.

## **B. Research Methods**

In this context, two primary types of legal research are identified: Normative Legal Research and Empirical Legal Research. Normative Legal Research seeks to assess the quality of laws themselves and is often classified as qualitative research. Prof. Abdulkadir Muhammad describes it as focusing on law conceptualized as norms or rules that govern societal behavior. This type of research examines positive law inventories, legal principles and doctrines, legal discoveries in concrete cases, levels of legal synchronization, law comparisons, and historical aspects.

The methodology employed in this research primarily relies on qualitative analysis and secondary data sources. The secondary data comprises three categories:

1. **Primary Legal Materials:** These include authoritative legal documents such as laws and regulations that possess binding effects, including Law No. 28 of 2014 concerning Copyright.
2. **Secondary Legal Materials:** This category encompasses publications that elucidate primary legal materials, such as books, journals, and scientific literature relevant to copyright issues.
3. **Tertiary Legal Materials:** These materials offer guidance on primary and secondary sources, including dictionaries and encyclopedias that provide further context.

The author adopts a Statutory Approach, focusing on whether Malaysia's claims over batik violate Law No. 28 of 2014 concerning Copyright. The analysis employs qualitative methods to interpret written materials relevant to the case study while reinforcing Indonesia's commitment to defending its cultural heritage against unauthorized claims through robust legal frameworks and international cooperation within organizations like the World Trade Organization.

## **C. Discussion and Analysis**

### **C.1 Brief Overview**

The case of Malaysia's claims over batik underscores significant challenges faced by Indonesia in protecting its cultural heritage under international copyright law frameworks. Article 38 of Law No. 28 of 2014 explicitly recognizes traditional cultural

expressions such as batik as deserving distinct protections due to their cultural significance and artistic value. This provision aims to safeguard Indonesia's rich cultural heritage from unauthorized claims and misappropriation by other nations.

Furthermore, this study highlights the necessity for Indonesia to assert its rights within international trade frameworks, particularly through the World Trade Organization (WTO).<sup>8</sup> By reinforcing its commitment to protecting its cultural expressions on a global scale, Indonesia can enhance its position against infringement claims by other countries.

The implications extend beyond mere legal protections; they encompass a broader understanding of how countries can collaborate internationally to preserve their cultural identities while navigating complex intellectual property landscapes.<sup>9</sup> Robust legal mechanisms combined with proactive diplomatic efforts are essential for addressing disputes effectively and ensuring that traditional arts like batik receive the recognition they deserve in both domestic and international arenas.

About batik, it is important to note that it is closely associated with Indonesia's culture. In the art form, it embraces the diversity of the archipelago through the design, creation of the patterns and the way it is used. More than being textiles, batik is a means of expressing life events, social rank and even spiritual beliefs and is therefore important to Indonesia's intangible cultural assets. But this cultural importance has also contributed to issues especially with Malaysia where batik like patterns are used in business and culture. This background puts into perspective the need for a strong legal bar to establish Indonesian rights over batik and defend it. In accordance with the Law No. 28 of 2014 on Copyright, batik and other forms of cultural intangible assets are protected under intellectual property laws of Indonesia. The law offers safeguards through its provisions on copyright ownership of the work, moral rights and economic rights, recognition of traditional cultural expressions and dispute resolution mechanisms.

---

<sup>8</sup> World Trade Organization. "Intellectual Property: Protection and Enforcement." WTO, 2021.

<sup>9</sup> Rina Febriani, Luuk Knippenberg, and Noelle Aarts, "The Making of a National Icon: Narratives of Batik in Indonesia," *Cogent Arts & Humanities* 10, no. 1 (December 31, 2023): 2254042, <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2023.2254042>.

## **C.2 Comparative Legal Analysis of Copyright Ownership**

Ownership of traditional cultural expressions such as batik as provided for under Law No. 28 of 2014 is an important aspect of the law. Article 38 establishes that the traditional cultural expressions are owned by the state and this is the cultural identity of Indonesian people.<sup>10</sup> This provision ensures that nobody including the private or the foreign entity is allowed to exercise exclusive rights over batik designs and styles thus protecting the legal rights of Indonesia. This classification of batik as a cultural intangible under this law also helps in its protection. Thus, patterns, motives and a complex craft of wax-resist dyeing, which is the batik technique, are of considered applied the art. forms Therefore, they attract the same legal protection as any other intellectual work that is created in the modern world.

As batik is a cultural asset owned by the state, anyone's interest or that of any corporate entity cannot be allowed to overshadow the protection of this art form as it is an asset to the community. This recognition also eliminates the chances of other individuals or organizations in Malaysia for instance to come up with a proposition that batik art form belongs to them thus reducing its value as an Indonesian art work.

Article 4 and 5 of the Law No. 28 of 2014 provides for moral rights which include rights to attribution and right to prevent distortion or mutilation of a work. As we have seen with traditional cultural expressions like batik, the state is the legal owner of such works. This empowers Indonesia to claim that batik is an Indonesian product and to resent any representation that seeks to misappropriate the culture.

In the case of the disagreements with Malaysia, moral rights can be used to state the Indonesian's rights to the batik and to demand the acknowledgment of its origins. For instance, if the batik patterns are used in business by Malaysia without giving the due credit to the originator, the moral right of Indonesia can be invoked to set the record straight.

According to the Article 9 of the Copyright Law, only the copyright holder and

---

<sup>10</sup> Evi Steelyana, "Batik, A Beautiful Cultural Heritage That Preserve Culture and Supporteconomic Development in Indonesia," *Binus Business Review* 3, no. 1 (2012): 116, <https://doi.org/10.21512/bbr.v3i1.1288>.

in this case the state of Indonesia is allowed to exercise the exclusive economic rights to reproduce, adapt, distribute and commercially exploit the work. This provision enables Indonesia to control the application of batik patterns and techniques which and thus regulate benefits derived from the art form to the country. In this way, Indonesia can prevent the unauthorized use of the batik designs by foreign entities or corporations.

Articles 38-40 of the Copyright Law recognize and give protection to the traditional cultural activities. These provisions serve several functions:

Declaring batik as one of the products that are indigenously made in Indonesia and is an part of its culture.

1. Protecting the rights of commercial use of traditional arts.
2. enabling the government of Indonesia to be the custodian of the intellectual property of batik.

This recognition is even more significant in the dispute with Malaysia, where the cultural proximity may result in legal or factual assertions of ownership. to Thus, fend due off to similar it's claims legal and recognition thus as strengthen a its TCES, sovereignty Indonesia over is this in aspect a of better cultural position assets.

In the Copyright Law No. 28 of 2014, there are arbitration, mediation and litigation as some of the methods of solving disputes arising from intellectual property rights. These mechanisms offer Indonesia legal remedies to counter infringement claims or unauthorized use of batik patterns by foreign parties including Malaysia. Thus, by engaging in these mechanisms, Indonesia can establish its rights and seek remedies for violations.<sup>11</sup>

### **C.3 Comparative Analysis of Safeguards Against Malaysian Infringement Claims**

This paper analyses the Law No. 28 of 2014 as the legal basis through which Indonesia can claim ownership of batik. By identifying batik as one of the cultural expressions of the state, the law gives Indonesia the legal mandate to protect the art

---

<sup>11</sup> Akbar Nugroho and Davi Pandi, "The Issue of No Benchmark in Determining the Economic Value of Intellectual Property" 2, no. 1 (2024): 284-99.



form. This provision ensures that no one including private individuals or organizations can lay claim to sole rights to batik designs and styles thus protecting the legal rights of Indonesia. This is because batik patterns and the intricate process of wax-resist dyeing are also forms of applied art. They therefore receive the same legal protection as any other forms of modern intellectual works.

The protection of traditional cultural expressions such as batik is crucial for preserving national identity and heritage. In Indonesia, Law No. 28 of 2014 serves as the legal framework that safeguards batik, recognizing it as a significant cultural asset. This analysis delves into the implications of this law for protecting batik against infringement claims, particularly from Malaysia, a country with which Indonesia shares cultural ties. The provisions of the law not only affirm Indonesia's ownership of batik but also establish mechanisms for dispute resolution and enforcement of intellectual property rights. Thus, due to the state-movement of batik being a cultural asset, nobody or any organization has the right to influence the development of the product for their benefit. It also rules out a chance of other people in Malaysia or any other country claiming ownership of the art form thus reducing its value as an Indonesian art form.

Articles 38-40 of the Copyright Law specifically acknowledges and protects the traditional cultural activities. These provisions serve several functions:

1. Declaring batik as one of the products that are indigenously made in Indonesia and is an part of its culture.
2. Protecting the rights of commercial use of traditional arts.
3. enabling the government of Indonesia to be the custodian of intellectual property of batik.

This recognition becomes more important in the case of Malaysia, where cultural proximity can lead to legal or factual claims of ownership. Therefore, due to the legal recognition of the TCES, Indonesia is in a better place to defend such claims and hence, enhance its sovereignty over this aspect of cultural assets.

Despite the robust legal framework established by Law No. 28, challenges remain in enforcing these protections effectively. Issues such as piracy and lack of

awareness among local artisans about their rights pose significant barriers to safeguarding batik[6]. Furthermore, while the law provides mechanisms for dispute resolution—including arbitration and litigation—actual enforcement can be inconsistent. The proximity between Indonesian and Malaysian cultures often leads to overlapping claims over traditional arts like batik. This section explores how Indonesia's legal protections under Law No. 28 compare with Malaysia's approach to safeguarding its cultural heritage.

Malaysia has made several claims regarding traditional arts that it argues are part of its national heritage. For instance, the inclusion of similar motifs in Malaysian tourism campaigns has sparked disputes over ownership and authenticity. The case involving the Reog Ponorogo dance highlights how cultural expressions can be misattributed or claimed without acknowledgment of their origins.

Malaysia's legal framework for protecting TCEs is less comprehensive than Indonesia's. While it recognizes certain aspects of intellectual property through copyright laws, there is no specific legislation akin to Indonesia's Law No. 28 that explicitly addresses TCEs comprehensively. This gap can lead to vulnerabilities where Malaysian entities may exploit similarities without facing significant legal repercussions.

The legal recognition provided by Law No. 28 enhances Indonesia's sovereignty over its cultural assets by establishing clear ownership rights and protective measures against external claims. By asserting its rights through this framework, Indonesia can effectively counteract any attempts by Malaysia or other countries to appropriate batik or similar cultural expressions.

The Law on Copyright Law No. 28 of 2014 provides dispute resolution mechanisms including arbitration, mediation and litigation to address issues in relation with intellectual property rights. These mechanisms offer Indonesia legal remedies to counter infringement claims or unauthorized use of batik patterns by foreign parties, including Malaysia. Thus, by engaging in these mechanisms, Indonesia can establish its rights and seek remedies for violations.

#### **C.4 Analysis of Indonesia's Claim Over Batik**

Indonesia's legal framework as provided under Law No. 28 of 2014 gives adequate protection to the batik art form. Batik, an intricate and culturally significant textile art form originating from Indonesia, has been recognized as a vital part of the nation's heritage. The legal framework established under Law No. 28 of 2014 provides a robust foundation for protecting this traditional art form. However, challenges persist in safeguarding batik from imitation and unauthorized use, necessitating enhanced measures for its preservation and promotion. This analysis delves into the legal protections afforded to batik, the recommended strategies for safeguarding its integrity, and the importance of international collaboration.

Indonesia's Law No. 28 of 2014 concerning Copyright serves as the primary legal instrument for protecting batik as a cultural heritage. This law recognizes batik motifs as artistic works deserving of copyright protection, which grants exclusive rights to creators and owners to reproduce and distribute their designs. The law emphasizes the importance of preserving cultural diversity and heritage, reflecting Indonesia's rich tapestry of ethnicities and traditions.

Batik is not merely a decorative fabric; it embodies historical narratives, philosophical meanings, and cultural practices. Each motif carries significance, often linked to specific ceremonies or community identities. The legal recognition of batik under copyright law is crucial for preventing unauthorized reproduction and ensuring that traditional artisans can benefit economically from their creations.

Despite the legal framework in place, several challenges threaten the authenticity and economic viability of batik. One major issue is the proliferation of imitations and mass-produced versions that dilute the cultural value of traditional batik. These counterfeit products often mislead consumers and undermine the livelihoods of genuine batik artisans.

Additionally, enforcement of copyright laws can be inconsistent, with many artisans lacking awareness of their rights or facing difficulties in navigating legal processes to protect their work. The absence of a comprehensive database documenting batik designs further complicates efforts to assert ownership and prove

originality in cases of infringement.

Thus, there are several recommended measures that can be taken in order to prevent the emerging challenges in the protection of batik:

1. **Improved Documentation:** Creating a centralized database that catalogs batik designs, techniques, and historical contexts is essential. This database would serve as a reference point for legal claims and help establish authenticity in disputes over ownership. By systematically documenting various batik motifs, Indonesia can strengthen its position in protecting its cultural heritage against unauthorized use
2. **Expanded Protections:** The Indonesian government should consider additional legislative measures aimed at preventing imitation and mass production of batik-like designs. This could include stricter penalties for copyright infringement and enhanced support for local artisans through grants or subsidies that encourage the production of authentic batik

In order to enhance its standing, Indonesia should make full use of international relations, including:

1. **UNESCO Initiatives:** Collaborating with UNESCO can enhance awareness regarding the cultural significance of batik. By nominating batik for recognition as an intangible cultural heritage, Indonesia can garner international support for its preservation efforts. Such recognition not only elevates the status of batik but also provides a platform for educational initiatives aimed at promoting understanding of its cultural importance.
2. **WIPO Negotiations:** Indonesia should advocate for international legal mechanisms that protect traditional cultural expressions like batik. Engaging in negotiations at WIPO can lead to agreements that provide stronger safeguards against unauthorized use and promote respect for traditional knowledge across borders.

To sustain the batik industry, Indonesia has to develop the local people by giving them education, financial assistance, and basic needs. This is because promoting the original batik in the global market can prevent it from being confused

with cheap copies made in other countries. Given the historical tensions between Indonesia and Malaysia regarding claims over batik, fostering cooperative relations is crucial. Cultural exchange programs can facilitate mutual understanding and appreciation between the two nations, reducing conflicts over intellectual property rights. Establishing joint agreements on intellectual property rights related to batik can help clarify ownership issues while promoting collaborative efforts in preserving this art form. Such agreements could include shared initiatives for marketing authentic batik products globally, thereby enhancing both countries' economic benefits from this cultural heritage.

Indonesia can not only use technology in general but employ specific ways such as the following to protect batik:

1. **Digital Watermarking:** Implementing digital watermarking techniques allows artisans to embed unique identifiers within their designs digitally. This method provides a means to trace ownership while deterring potential infringers from using these designs without permission.
2. **Blockchain Technology:** Utilizing blockchain technology offers a transparent registry system where each design's ownership history is securely recorded. This innovation can facilitate easier verification processes when disputes arise regarding originality or ownership.

#### **D. Conclusion & Recommendations**

To effectively safeguard its rich cultural heritage, Indonesia must adopt a multifaceted approach that enhances its legal frameworks, particularly concerning copyright protections for traditional cultural expressions such as batik. Strengthening existing copyright laws by integrating more explicit protections will ensure that Indonesia's unique cultural artifacts are adequately defended against unauthorized claims. The ongoing controversy surrounding Malaysia's assertions of ownership over batik, despite its deep historical roots in Indonesian culture, exemplifies the critical challenges faced in protecting intellectual property rights. This situation raises serious concerns regarding copyright infringement and the respect for intellectual property

rights, as highlighted by the misattribution of batik that threatens Indonesia's creative identity.

Article 38 of Law No. 28 of 2014 explicitly recognizes traditional cultural expressions, including batik, as deserving distinct protections due to their cultural significance and artistic value. This legal framework aims to safeguard Indonesia's rich cultural heritage from unauthorized claims and misappropriation by other nations, thereby reinforcing the importance of respecting the origins and authenticity of cultural artifacts. The case of batik serves as a compelling study in the broader discourse on intellectual property rights, particularly in how nations navigate claims over cultural heritage in an increasingly interconnected world. As globalization continues to blur national boundaries, the risk of misappropriation of cultural expressions becomes ever more pronounced.

In addition to legal reforms, fostering cultural diplomacy through bilateral and multilateral dialogues with countries like Malaysia can promote mutual respect and understanding regarding cultural heritage. Such dialogues may lead to cooperative agreements that prevent misappropriation and strengthen relationships between nations. Furthermore, raising public awareness about the significance of batik and other traditional arts is crucial; cultivating national pride can garner support for protecting Indonesia's cultural identity.

Moreover, encouraging collaborative research initiatives between academic institutions and legal experts can facilitate comprehensive studies on the implications of copyright law on traditional arts. This collaboration will enhance the understanding of legal protections while leading to informed policy recommendations that align with contemporary challenges in cultural heritage preservation.

By integrating traditional arts into school curricula, younger generations can develop a deeper appreciation for their cultural roots. Workshops and community programs focused on batik-making not only preserve traditional techniques but also empower local artisans economically. Engaging communities in these initiatives fosters a sense of ownership over their cultural expressions, making them active participants in the preservation process.

On a global scale, Indonesia can benefit from international collaborations aimed at protecting traditional knowledge and cultural expressions. By participating in global forums and agreements focused on cultural heritage protection, Indonesia can advocate for stronger international standards that recognize and protect traditional arts from exploitation. Such collaborations can also facilitate knowledge exchange about best practices in safeguarding cultural heritage.

In today's digital age, technology offers innovative solutions for preserving and promoting traditional arts like batik. Digital platforms can be utilized to create virtual galleries showcasing batik designs and techniques, making them accessible to a global audience. Additionally, social media campaigns can raise awareness about Indonesia's rich artistic heritage while fostering online communities dedicated to sharing knowledge about traditional practices. By leveraging technology, Indonesia can enhance its visibility on the world stage and attract interest from both domestic and international audiences.

The economic potential of preserving and promoting traditional arts extends beyond mere protection; it can also serve as a catalyst for local economic development. By investing in batik production and tourism related to cultural heritage sites, Indonesia can create job opportunities while fostering sustainable practices among local artisans. Initiatives such as craft fairs or exhibitions can showcase batik to tourists, generating revenue while educating visitors about its significance. This economic approach not only supports artisans but also reinforces the value of Indonesia's cultural identity within the global marketplace.

By implementing these strategies, Indonesia can better defend its artistic legacy against external threats while promoting respect for its contributions to global culture. These efforts are vital in ensuring that Indonesia's rich traditions are preserved and celebrated for future generations. The ethical dimension of this issue highlights not only the legal frameworks but also the moral obligations nations have towards protecting each other's cultural heritage. As emphasized by scholars such as Kooiman (2018), mutual respect and acknowledgment are essential in preserving the authenticity and diversity of global cultural landscapes.

In summary, this thesis seeks not only to defend Indonesia's rights but also to advocate for a broader recognition of the importance of preserving cultural heritage in an interconnected world. The case of batik serves as a crucial example of how intellectual property rights can act as both a shield and a sword in combating cultural misappropriation. Ultimately, it underscores the urgent need for strong enforcement mechanisms at both national and international levels to prevent unauthorized appropriation while fostering dialogue among nations to promote respect for cultural diversity. By addressing these critical issues, this study aims to provide insights into effective strategies for safeguarding Indonesia's rich artistic heritage against external challenges while reinforcing its commitment to protecting its unique cultural identity on a global stage.

## REFERENCES

- "Menilik Sejarah Batik, Salah Satu Duta Budaya Indonesia - Inspektorat Jenderal Kemendikbudristek." 2023. October 3, 2023. <https://itjen.kemdikbud.go.id/web/menilik-sejarah-batik-salah-satu-duta-budaya-indonesia/>.
- Babbie, E.R. (2016). *The Practice of Social Research*. Cengage Learning.
- CNBC Indonesia. 2024. "3 Kisah Batik Indonesia Diklaim Negara Lain, Bukan Cuma Malaysia." CNBC Indonesia. [cnbcindonesia.com](https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/lifestyle/20241002110349-33-576299/3-kisah-batik-indonesia-diklaim-negara-lain-bukan-cuma-malaysia/amp). October 2, 2024. <https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/lifestyle/20241002110349-33-576299/3-kisah-batik-indonesia-diklaim-negara-lain-bukan-cuma-malaysia/amp>.
- Desi Aditia Ningrum. 2024. "Diklaim Warga Malaysia, Ini Bukti Batik Berasal Dari Indonesia." Merdeka.com. September 2024. <https://www.merdeka.com/amp/trending/diklaim-warga-malaysia-ini-bukti-batik-berasal-dari-indonesia-200275-mvk.html>.
- Febriani, Rina, Luuk Knippenberg, and Noelle Aarts. "The Making of a National Icon: Narratives of Batik in Indonesia." *Cogent Arts & Humanities* 10, no. 1 (December 31, 2023): 2254042. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2023.2254042>.
- Indonesia. *Law No. 28 of 2014 concerning Copyright*. Government of Indonesia.
- Kooiman, J. (2018). "Cultural Heritage Protection: A Comparative Study." *International Journal of Cultural Property*, 25(3), 341-360.
- Khusnul Nurjanah, Mifta, and Abdul Rahman. "The Preservation Strategy of Written Batik as a Cultural Heritage (Case Study of Gunawan Setiawan Batik in Kauman Batik Tourism Village)." *Resource : Mamangan Social Science Journal* 10,



no. 2 (2021): 60–69. <https://ejournal.upgrisba.ac.id/index.php/jurnal-mamangan/article/view/4772>.

Kristian. 2021. "Serupa Tapi Tak Sama, Ini Bedanya Batik Malaysia Dan Indonesia." Krajanbatik. November 22, 2021. <https://www.krajanbatik.com/post/serupa-tapi-tak-sama-ini-bedanya-batik-malaysia-dan-indonesia>.

Nugroho, Akbar, and Davi Pandi. "The Issue of No Benchmark in Determining the Economic Value of Intellectual Property." *Anthology: Inside Intellectual Property Rights* 2, no. 1 (2024): 284–99. <https://ojs.uph.edu/index.php/Anthology/article/view/8514>.

Pamela, Dyah Ayu. 2024. "Perang Klaim Asal-Usul Batik Indonesia Malaysia Pecah Lagi, YouTuber IShowSpeed Kebingungan." *Liputan6.com*, September 18, 2024. <https://www.liputan6.com/amp/5704991/perang-klaim-asal-usul-batik-indonesia-malaysia-pecah-lagi-youtuber-ishowspeed-kebingungan>.

Rahman, Ahmad. "The Role of International Law in Protecting Cultural Heritage." *International Journal of Cultural Property* 22, no. 1, 2015.

Ricky Adhitya, Claudio, Fidelia Evangelyn Abigail, and Florence Hermawan. "Fighting Fake Fashion: Legal Protection Of Brand Owners Towards Counterfeit Branded Fashion Goods In The Lens Of Intellectual Property Law." *Anthology: Inside Intellectual Property Rights* 1, no. 1 (2023): 1–17. <https://ojs.uph.edu/index.php/Anthology/article/view/6687>.

Steelyana, Evi. "Batik, A Beautiful Cultural Heritage That Preserve Culture and Supporteconomic Development in Indonesia." *Binus Business Review* 3, no. 1 (2012): 116. <https://doi.org/10.21512/bbr.v3i1.1288>.

Soekanto, S. (2015). *Pengantar Penelitian Hukum*. Rajawali Pers.

Suharto, Budi. "Cultural Heritage and Intellectual Property Rights in Indonesia." *Journal of Southeast Asian Studies*, vol. 45, no. 2, 2014.

Sugianto, Fajar, Astrid Athina Indradewi, and Yohanie Maretta. "Book Pirates and Copycats: Infringement That Speaks For Itself." *Anthology: Inside Intellectual Property Rights* 2, no. 1 (2024): 259–69. <https://ojs.uph.edu/index.php/Anthology/article/view/8512>.

Tan, Wei Ling. "The Impact of Globalization on Traditional Arts: The Case of Batik." *Asian Arts Journal* 5, no. 1, 2019.

World Trade Organization. "Intellectual Property: Protection and Enforcement." WTO, 2021. [https://www.wto.org/english/thewto\\_e/whatis\\_e/tif\\_e/agrm7\\_e.htm](https://www.wto.org/english/thewto_e/whatis_e/tif_e/agrm7_e.htm).